

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,635	08/18/2003	Robert S. Behl	02-061 (US02)	4444	
23410	7590 06/27/2006		EXAMINER		
Vista IP Law Group LLP			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE		
2040 MAIN STREET, 9TH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11(11112), 01	. /2011		3739		
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ري -
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/643,635	BEHL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Rosiland S. Rollins	3739	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 A</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		s is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 67-94 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 67-94 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and content of the content of	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) □ objected to by the		
11\□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	
•	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	Adminer. Note the attached Office	7-101 07 101111 F 1 O-132	••
12)  a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/9/05;4/20/06</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/643,635

Art Unit: 3739

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 85 and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite for failing to recite a positive structural limitation.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 67-71, 74-84, 86-77, 80-90 and 92-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zepeda (US 6090105).

In figure 9, Zepeda et al. disclose a probe for deploying electrode arrays, comprising: a shaft having a distal end and a proximal end; a first array of electrodes (16) mounted on the shaft, the first array of electrodes having a retracted configuration and a deployed configuration; and a second array of electrodes (16) mounted on the

Application/Control Number: 10/643,635 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

shaft at a location spaced apart proximally from the first array of electrodes, the second array of electrodes having a retracted configuration and a deployed configuration; wherein at least one of the electrodes of the first array has an end that faces at least partially in a proximal direction, at least one of the electrodes of the second array has an end that faces at least partially in a distal direction, and the end of the at least one of the electrodes of the second array is located proximal to the end of the at least one of the electrodes of the first array when the first and the second arrays are deployed.

**Regarding claim 68,** Zepeda et al. illustrate each of the electrodes of the first and the second arrays everting away from the shaft as it is being deployed.

Regarding claim 69, Zepeda et al. disclose at least one cavity for receiving the first and the second arrays of electrodes when the first and the second arrays of electrodes are retracted.

Regarding claim 70, Zepeda et al. disclose at least one cavity for receiving the first array of electrodes when the first array of electrodes is retracted, and at least a second cavity for receiving the second array of electrodes when the second array of electrodes is retracted.

Regarding claim 71, Zepeda et al. disclose a first rod connected to the first electrode array and slidably disposed in the shaft; and a second rod connected to the second electrode array and slidably disposed in the shaft.

Regarding claim 74, Zepeda et al. illustrate the first and the second arrays of electrodes each having a concave face when deployed.

Art Unit: 3739

Regarding claim 76, Zepeda et al. disclose a probe for deploying electrode arrays, comprising: a first tube (14) having a distal end, a proximal end, and a lumen extending between the ends; a first array of electrodes (16) at least partially disposed within the lumen of the first tube, the first array of electrodes having a retracted configuration and a deployed configuration; a second tube (18) located next to the first tube, the second tube having a distal end, a proximal end, and a lumen extending between the ends; and a second array of electrodes at least partially disposed within the lumen of the second tube, the second array of electrodes having a retracted configuration and a deployed configuration; wherein at least one of the electrodes of the first array has an end that faces at least partially in a proximal direction, at least one of the electrodes of the second array has an end that faces at least partially in a distal direction, and the end of the at least one of the electrodes of the second array is located proximal to the end of the at least one of the electrodes of the first array when the first and the second arrays are deployed.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 72, 73, 78, 79, 85 and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zepeda et al. Zepeda et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the planar range and the first and the second arrays of electrodes being spaced

Application/Control Number: 10/643,635

Art Unit: 3739

at least 2 cm from each other when they are deployed. To have provided the first and second array of electrodes such that they are spaced at least 2 cm from each other when they are deployed would have been an obvious modification to an artisan since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

Page 5

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all of the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

> Rosiland S Rollins **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3739